US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

DATA EVALUATION RECORD § 141-1 - HONEY BEE ACUTE CONTACT AND ORAL LD TEST

CHEMICAL: Azoxystrobin PC Code No.: 128810

TEST MATERIAL: ICIA5504 WG formulation Purity: 51.6% 2.

3. CITATION

Gough, H.J. and D. Jackson <u>Authors:</u>

ICIA5504: Acute Contact and Oral Toxicity <u>Title:</u>

to Honey Bees (Apis mellifera) of a 500 g

kg-1 WG Formulation

October 26, 1994 Study Completion Date:

Jealott's Hill Research Station, Laboratory:

Bracknell, Berkshire, UK

<u>Laboratory Report ID</u>: **RJ1720B**

> Zeneca Inc., Wilmington, DE Sponsor:

436781-67 MRID No.:

REVIEWED BY:

William Erickson

Biologist

EEB/EFED/EPA

Signature:

Date:

W. Grah 4/01/96 A. T. Crom 6/21/96

5. APPROVED BY:

> Harry Craven Section Head 4

EEB/EFED/EPA

Signature:

Date:

STUDY PARAMETERS

Scientific Name of Test Organism: Apis mellifera Definitive Study Duration: 48 hours

CONCLUSIONS: This study is scientifically sound and meets the guideline requirement. Based on nominal concentrations, the acute contact and oral LD_{50} was greater than 200 μ g ai/bee, which classifies ICIA5504 WG formulation as practically nontoxic to the honey bee.

Results Synopsis

LD₅₀: $>200 \mu g ai/bee$

95% C.I.: N/A

NOEL: 200 μ g ai/bee

Probit Slope: N/A

8. ADEQUACY OF THE STUDY

A. Classification: Core for a formulated product.

B. Rationale: N/A

C. Repairability: N/A

9. GUIDELINE DEVIATIONS:

1. Age of the test bees was not reported.

2. The number of bees (10) per replicate (cage) was less than recommended (25 bees per replicate).

10. SUBMISSION PURPOSE:

11. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Test Organisms

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
Species: Honey bee (Apis mellifera).	Apis mellifera
Age at beginning of test: Worker bees of uniform age.	Not reported
Supplier	Jealott's Hill Research Station, Bracknell, Berkshire, UK
All bees from the same source?	Yes, bees from hive 1991/12.

B. Test System

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
Cage size adequate?	Yes

DATA EVALUATION RECORD ackslash 141-1 - Honey bee acute contact and oral LD $_{50}$ test

Azoxystroin-

128810

CHEMICAL: Sulfentrazone

PC Code No.:

129081

TEST MATERIAL: ICIA5504 WG formulation Purity:

CITATION 3.

> Gough, H.J. and D. Jackson <u>Authors:</u>

ICIA5504: Acute Contact and Oral Toxicity <u>Title:</u>

to Honey Bees (Apis mellifera) of a 500 g

kg⁻¹ WG Formulation

Study Completion Date: October 26, 1994

> Jealott's Hill Research Station, Laboratory:

> > Bracknell, Berkshire, UK

Laboratory Report ID: RJ1720B

Zeneca Inc., Wilmington, DE Sponsor:

D217072, D217078/ DP Barcode:

MRID No.: 436781-67

Max A. Feken, M.S., Environmental Toxicologist, REVIEWED BY:

KBN Engineering and Applied Sciences, Inc.

Signature:

Date: 1/

Pim Kosalwat, Ph.D., Senior Scientist, APPROVED BY:

KBN Engineering and Applied Sciences, Inc.

Signature:

P. Kosalwat

APPROVED BY:

Signature:

Date:

STUDY PARAMETERS

Scientific Name of Test Organism: Apis mellifera Definitive Study Duration: 48 hours

CONCLUSIONS: This study is scientifically sound and meets 7. guideline requirements. Based on nominal concentrations, the acute contact and oral LD₅₀ was greater than 200 μ g ai/bee, which classifies ICIA5504 WG formulation as practically non-toxic to the honey bee. The NOEL was determined to be 200 μ g ai/bee.

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
Lighting: Bees should be maintained in the dark	Bees maintained in the dark except during observations.
Temperature: 27°C (80°F).	24-25°C
Relative humidity: Approx. 65%	49-62% relative humidity

C. Test Design

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
Range finding test?	No
Reference toxicant tested?	Yes, dimethoate.
Method of administration: Whole body exposure in a nontoxic dust diluent; or topical exposure via microapplicator.	Contact test: Topical exposure via micro-syringe (Burkard micro-applicator). Oral test: Dose administered via feeding tube.
Definitive Test Nominal doses: Sufficient number of dosage levels to yield statistically sound data unless it can be determined that the LD ₅₀ will be greater than 25 μg/bee.	Nominal concentrations of 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, and 200 μg ai/bee for both tests.
Controls: Negative control and/or diluent/solvent control	Diluent control for the contact test and negative control for the oral test.
Number of bees per cage: 25 (recommended)	10 bees per cage (both tests).
Number of cages per group: 3 replicate cages per group is recommended.	3 cages per treatment group (both tests).

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
Carrier: Non-toxic dust (e.g, Pyrolite).	Contact test: Deionized water with 500 mg/L 'Agral' as a surfactant to aid spreading of the drop.
	Oral test: N/A.
Solvent: Distilled water or the following solvents: dimethyl-formamide, triethylene glycol, methanol, acetone, ethanol.	N/A.
Volume of test solution: ≤2 µl/bee (for contact tests).	Contact test: 1 µl drop. Oral test: 0.2 ml (total volume) per cage or 0.02 ml per bee. Test solution contained the test formulation dissolved in 50% sucrose solution.
Observations period: At least 48 hours.	48 hours for both tests.

12. REPORTED RESULTS

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
Quality assurance and GLP compliance statements were included in the report?	Yes
Controls: Mortality not more than 15%	0% for acute contact and 13% for oral toxicity test.
Raw data included?	Yes
Signs of toxicity (if any) were described?	Yes

Mortality - Contact Test

			Ct	mulativ	e Number	of Dea	đ
Dosage	No. of			Hou	our of Study		
(µg ai/bee)	Bees	Rep	1	2	4	24	48
Diluent Control	10	a b c	0 0 0	0 0	0 0 0	0 0	0
5	10	a b c	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0	0 1 0	1 1 0
10	10	a b c	0 0	0 0	0 0	000	0 1 0
20	10	a b c	0 0 0	0 0	2 0 0	6 1 0	6 1 2
50	10	a b c	0 0 0	0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0	1 0 0
100	10	a b c	0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	· 0 0 0	0 0 2
200	10	a b c	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0

Other Significant Results: Although at least one mortality was observed at each dosage level, the mortalities were not indicative of a positive dose response. Only one mortality was noted at the highest treatment level (200 μ g ai/bee). There were only a few sublethal effects noted at various dosage levels including the control. During the same month using the same colony of bees, an LD50 was obtained using dimethoate as a toxic standard in order to determine if the bees were reacting normally to pesticide doses under similar conditions. Dimethoate produced a positive dose-response and an LD50 value comparable to the mean LD50 calculated using data collected from 1981 to 1992.

Mortality - Oral Test

			C	umulativ	e Numbe	r of Dea	ıd
Dosage	No. of			Hou	r of St	udy	
(µg ai/bee)	Bees	Rep	1	2	4	24	48
Control	10	a b c	0 1 0	1 1 0	1 1 0	1 1 1	1 2 1
5	10	a b c	0 0	0 0 1	0 0 1	0 1 2	0 1 4
10	10	a b c	0 2 . 0	0 2 0	0 2 0	2 2 0	4 2 0
20	10	a b c	0 1 0	1 1 0	1 1 0	1 1 0	2 2 1
50	10	a b c	0	1 0 0	1 0 0	1 0 0	3 0 1
100	10	a b c	0 0 0	. 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 2 1
200	10	a b c	0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 2 0	1 4 1

Other Significant Results: No positive dose-related response was evident in this test.

Reported Statistical Results - Contact Test

Statistical Method: By visual inspection

LD₅₀: >200 μ g ai/bee NOEL: 200 μ g ai/bee

95% C.I.: N/A

Probit Slope: N/A

Reported Statistical Results - Oral Test

Statistical Method: By visual inspection

LD₅₀: $>200 \mu g ai/bee$

95% C.I.: N/A

NOEL: 200 μg ai/bee

Probit Slope: N/A

13. Verification of Statistical Results - Contact Test

Statistical Method: Williams' test

LD₅₀: >200 μg ai/bee

95% C.I.: N/A

NOEL: 200 µg ai/bee Probit Slope: N/A

Verification of Statistical Results - Oral Test

Statistical Method: Williams' test

LD₅₀: >200 μg ai/bee NOEL: 200 μg ai/bee 95% C.I.: N/A

Probit Slope: N/A

14. REVIEWER'S COMMENTS: This study is scientifically sound, meets the guideline requirements for honey bee acute contact and oral toxicity tests, and can be classified as Core for a formulated product. Based on nominal concentrations, the acute contact and oral LD₅₀ was greater than 200 μ g ai/bee, which classifies ICIA5504 WG formulation as practically nontoxic to Apis mellifera. The NOEL was determined to be 200 μ g ai/bee.

ICIA5504 FORMULATION

File: C:43678167. Transform: ARC SINE(SQUARE ROOT(Y))

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 1 OF 2

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N _.	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1	CONTROL	3	0.000	0.159	0.159
2	5	3	0.067	0.267	0.240
3	10	3	0.033	0.213	0.240
4	20	3	0.300	0.557	0.311
5	50	3	0.033	0.213	0.311
6	100	3	0.067	0.260	0.311
7	200	. 3	0.033	0.213	0.311

ICIA5504 FORMULATION

File: C:43678167. Transform: ARC SINE(SQUARE ROOT(Y))

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 2 OF 2

IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
CONTROL	0.159				
5	0.240	0.676		1.76 '	k = 1, v = 14
10	0.240	0.676		1.85	k= 2, v=14
- 20	0.311	1.262		1.88	k = 3, v = 14
50	0.311	1.262		1.89	k = 4, v = 14
100	0.311	1.262		1.90	k=5, v=14
200	0.311	1.262		1.91	k=6, v=14

s = 0.148

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

ICIA5504 FORMULATION - ORAL TEST

File: 43678167 Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 1 OF 2

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N 	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1	CONTROL	3	0.867	0.867	0.867
2 .	5	3	0.833	0.833	0.840
3	10	3	0.800	0.800	0.840
4	20	3 .	0.833	0.833	0.840
5	50	3	0.867	0.867	0.840
6	100	3	0.867	0.867	0.840
7	200	3	0.800	0.800	0.800

ICIA5504 FORMULATION - ORAL TEST

200

File: 43678167 Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 2 OF 2 ISOTONIZED CALC. . SIG TABLE DEGREES OF MEAN P=.05 WILLIAMS . IDENTIFICATION WILLIAMS CONTROL 0.867 k=1, v=145 0.840 0.226 1.76 0.226 1.85 k=2, v=1410 0.840 k=3, v=1420 10.840 0.226 1.88 k=4, v=141.89 0.226 50 0.840 k=5, v=140.226 1.90 100 0.840

0.564

s = 0.145

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

0.800

k = 6, v = 14

1.91

ICIA5504 FORMULATION - ORAL TEST

File: 43678167 Transform: ARC SINE(SQUARE ROOT(Y))

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 1 OF 2

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	Ŋ	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
. 1	CONTROL	3	0.867	1.202	1.202
2	5	3	0.833	1.182	1.182
3	10	3	0.800	1.135	1.177
4	20	. 3	0.833	1.154	1.177
5 •	50	3	0.867	1.217	1.177
6	100	3	0.867	1.202	1.177
7	, 200	3	0.800	1.128	1.128

ICIA5504 FORMULATION - ORAL TEST

File: 43678167 Transform: ARC SINE(SQUARE ROOT(Y))

WILLIAMS TEST	(Isotonic	regression	model	TABLE	2	OF	2	
---------------	-----------	------------	-------	-------	---	----	---	--

IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
CONTROL	1.202				
. 5	1.182	0.125		1.76	k= 1, v=14
10	1.177	0.159	•	1.85	k = 2, v = 14
20	1.177	0.159		1.88	k=3, v=14
50	1.177	0.159		1.89	k = 4, v = 14
100	1.177	0.159		1.90	k = 5, v = 14
200	1.128	0.476		1.91	k= 6, v=14

s = 0.189

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.